Dear [Name],

thank you very much for allowing us to present our derogation requests for the PFHxA proposal and for our discussion on the C8/C9-C14 intermediates and all PFAS during our online meeting on 6th March.

As follow-up, we would like to share the MIDWOR report we mentioned (attached).

We would also like to further clarify our suggested wording for derogation 8 (f) of “high performance” technical textiles used for NVH (noise, vibration, harshness) insulation and engine ignition protection in means of transport, non-road mobile machinery.
We have further detailed this in our – confidential- contribution to the SEAC consultation, under question 8. Please find an extract below and the full contribution attached to this mail:

The term high-performance has been used in the Report on the use of PFAS in TULAC (Textiles, Upholstery, Leather, Apparel and Carpets) in the context of the upcoming restriction on all PFAS substances. High-performance is defined as multiple technical functions, including:
- Water repellence;
- Oil repellence;
- Stain-resistance/soil protection; and
- Flame retardancy.

Based on our knowledge, these properties can be measured according to the following list of standards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-repellency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil-repellency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stain resistance/ soil protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We remain available for any further questions or comments.

Kind regards,

(consultant for Archroma)