



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
SECRETARIAT-GENERAL

The Secretary General

Brussels, 020713  
SG.dsg1.d2. DJ/cv

**NOTE FOR THE ATTENTION OF  
MR K. FALKENBERG, DIRECTOR-GENERAL, DG ENV  
MS P. TESTORI COGGI, DIRECTOR-GENERAL, DG SANCO**

**Subject: Endocrine disruptors – Next steps**

By the end of 2013, the Commission has to establish criteria that will be used to identify substances with endocrine disrupting properties which will subsequently be largely phased out under the Plant Protection and Biocides Regulations. The elaboration of these criteria is sensitive because of the diverging views held by the stakeholder community and the potential impacts on parts of the chemical industry and international trade. It is important, therefore, that the Commission be able to demonstrate that it has followed a sound process in developing these legal acts and is able to defend robustly whatever decision it takes. With this in mind, we would like to make the following comments.

- (1) DG ENV is developing a delegated act establishing criteria for identifying endocrine substances and envisages in addition a Commission Recommendation, a Communication with a revised endocrine strategy and a Commission staff working document assessing the current endocrine strategy. SANCO must also prepare an implementing act establishing criteria for identifying endocrine substances. There is substantial overlap between these tasks so it is important that your services work together to present a single package for adoption at the end of 2013 or early 2014 whose key elements should be a delegated act (ENV) and an implementing act (SANCO) which has been voted by the relevant Standing Committee and which can be adopted by the College. Whilst the legislator has decided upon two separate proposals to implement the relevant legislation, the substance of these proposals should be identical and ENV and SANCO should ensure there is no divergence caused by having to present one of the measures to the Standing Committee. The two proposals should be supported by an impact assessment including a public consultation on the various options for the criteria and their impact in the context of the regulation of biocides and plant protection products. We suggest that as other DGs have done, you consider making a joint single impact assessment to cover all the proposals.
- (2) We do not think it is necessary to prepare a Commission Recommendation on the criteria to identify endocrine disrupting substances which is independent from, and comes in advance of the two legislative proposals.

- (3) We do, however, believe there is value in preparing a Commission Communication to explain the Commission's approach to the identification of endocrine disrupting substances in the two legal acts. This Communication should draw on the analysis presented in the impact assessment and can also be used to signal future steps in the Union's approach to the management of endocrine disrupting substances if appropriate.

My services are ready to offer all necessary assistance and would like to be associated with the development of the package.



Catherine Day

Copies: M. Servoz, M. Klingbeil, M. Haag, J. Watson, W. Sleath, L. Tholoniati,  
M. Gremminger,  
(SG)  
A. Vannini, C. Martinez Alberola (President's Cabinet)  
A. Glover (CSA)